Can We Sequester Large Quantities of Atmospheric Carbon in the Arctic Permafrost?

Professor Alex T. Wilson

Dept. of Geosciences
University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona 85721

Introduction

A band of Boreal Forest stretches around the Northern Hemisphere land masses. The northern section of this forest becomes stunted trees, which thin out, and muskeg (bog) begins to appear. This area is called the Taiga. Still further north, the trees give way to the Tundra. The Arctic Tundra covers 13 million square kilometers. The surface of the tundra comprises a thin layer of peat, which is thawed and frozen during each annual cycle. By the end of an Arctic winter, everything is completely frozen. During the summer, the surface of the Arctic bog thaws. This thawed layer is called the active layer and its thickness is a constant for a given climate regime. The region under the active layer remains permanently frozen and is called permafrost. It may be hundreds of feet thick.

The plants that grow in this "active layer" have adequate water and a reasonable amount of sunlight, but have a very small supply of nutrients. Since the tundra plants are underlain by permanently frozen ground, the only nutrient supply is via the air. If some way could be found to supply nitrogenous fertilizer to these plants, it would lead to a great increase in the plant production rate. This would raise the level of the surface, which in turn would raise the surface of the permafrost, since the active layer is of constant thickness. The rising of the surface of the permafrost would trap organic matter, removing it from interacting with the atmosphere.

This would lead to the permanent sequestration of atmospheric carbon in the permafrost. This paper suggests how this might be done on a scale that could make a large contribution to the mitigation of the Greenhouse Problem.

Plant Growth Rates in the Tundra

The plant growth or dry matter production rates in the Arctic tundra are incredibly low – of the order of 10 lbs/acre/yr. Compare this to 5,000 lbs./acre /yr on temperate grazing land. The Arctic tundra has adequate water and comparable solar energy, albeit 24 hours a day and only in the summer. The problem is that the nutrient supply is extremely low. The tundra plants are underlain by frozen material and the only nutrient supply is via the air.

The principal source of utilizable nitrogen is probably from the Aurora Borealis--a few pounds of N per acre per year. The most critical nutrient is nitrogen. If nitrogenous fertilizer could be added to these plants, the dry matter production rate would increase, and the surface of the peat would rise. This would cause the permafrost surface to rise, which would lead to the entrapment of large quantities of atmospheric carbon.

The most economical source of nitrogen would be to operate high temperature gas turbines fueled by the abundant quantities of natural gas and methane-water clathrates found in the Arctic. If atmospheric air is heated to high temperatures, for example by lightning, by the Aurora Borealis, by passing through an electric plasma arc, or in a gas turbine, nitrogen is oxidized to nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide (NOx).

In the natural environment, these rapidly convert to nitrate, and would result in very efficient foliar application (directly to the leaves) of nitrate to the tundra plants. The foliar application of this fertilizer could result in up to a hundredfold increase in the growth rate of tundra plants.

Nitrogen can be oxidized by direct combustion at very high temperatures. Since the reaction is endothermic, the proportion of oxidized nitrogen at equilibrium rises rapidly with the temperature. With the air at atmospheric pressure, the amount oxidized is 1.2 % by volume at 2000 C, and 5.3 % at 3000 C. This was the basis of the industrial fixation of nitrogen. (Birkeland-Eyde process, which used a plasma arc).

Special high-temperature gas turbines could be developed using ceramic parts. By adjusting the temperature of operation of the gas turbines, the amount of fixation and hence the carbon dioxide composition of the Earth's atmosphere could be controlled. The thermodynamic efficiency of a power station is proportional to the difference of the absolute temperature of the turbine and the absolute temperature of the discharge, divided by the absolute temperature of the turbine. Hence, it is desirable for power plants to operate at as high a temperature as possible. In populated areas temperatures are limited by NOx emissions.

In the Arctic tundra the soils, lakes and rivers are all very acidic due to the presence of humic acid. The overall reaction consumes atmospheric nitrogen and produces basic nitrogen compounds, which will lead to the overall reduction of total acidity.

Evidence That Power Stations Can Increase the Growth Rate of Tundra Plants

BP-Alaska has been operating gas turbines at its installation at Prudhoe Bay for the last 30 years. This installation is in the middle of the Central Alaska Caribou Herd range. In 1970, the herd had 3000 animals. At present, the herd has grown to 36,000—a twelve-fold increase. The arctic caribou herds range in distinct areas with little interchange of animals among herds. The Central Arctic Caribou Herd occupies a 15,000 square mile area around Prudhoe Bay, and the herd ranges on 10 million acres in which is situated the BP-Alaska oil field operation at Prudhoe Bay. The adjacent herds have increased slightly but less than a factor of two.

Assume that each caribou consumes 2.5 tons of above-ground dry matter per year. As noted above, in 1970 the herd had 3000 members. This represents a "carrying capacity" of one caribou/3000 ac. This implies a dry matter production rate of 2 lb/ac for this tundra area in its original state. At present, the herd has increased by a factor of 12 – a remarkable feat by normal agricultural standards.

As stated earlier, the increase in the Central Alaska Caribou Herd could be interpreted as a sizable increase in the above-ground dry-matter production rate. There should be a new lens of peat in this area, which could prove direct evidence of sequestered atmospheric carbon.

Clearly, the Prudhoe Bay "experiment" is an important pilot project and should be studied in detail. (Interestingly, BP Alaska has tried to keep its NOx levels as low as possible.) The increase in caribou numbers implies an above-ground dry matter production rate of 24lb/ac/yr. This represents an increase in the total production rate of 110,000 tons/year. To determine the amount of carbon sequestered, we should double this figure, since most plants have as much growth below the ground as above, and multiply by 0.4 (peat contains about 40% carbon).

The implication is that the Prudhoe Bay turbines are sequestering 100,000 tons of atmospheric carbon per year. We might be able to increase this by an order of magnitude. If we burn one ton of carbon (as methane) in a gas turbine situated in tundra muskeg, how many tons of carbon will be sequestered as frozen peat? On the basis of passing the methane through at a 8% fuel/air

ratio, and with the turbine operating at a temperature of 2000 C, we would fix 1.2% of the contained nitrogen, which would be ¼ ton. On the assumption that permafrost peat has a C/N ratio of 800 and that we lose half the nitrogen fixed (through denitrification and other losses), we might expect to remove 100 tons of carbon (as CO2) from the atmosphere. If the turbine could be operated at 3000 C, this number would be 440 tons of carbon sequestered for each ton of methane carbon burned.**Advantages of Sequestering Carbon With High-Temperature Gas Turbines**

This could be a method of controlling, rather than reducing, the CO2 composition of the atmosphere. In addition, it can be done at no net cost to the public; in fact, it can be done at a profit. And this method will enhance and not harm the tundra ecosystem, which comprises 10% of the Earth's land surface.

The Current Importance of the Tundra in Sequestration

Since the end of the last ice age, more than 400 gigatons of carbon has been accumulated as frozen peat in the Arctic permafrost. This represents more than 60 times the amount of carbon put into the atmosphere every year by humankind. If the temperature of the Arctic is allowed to rise (which seems to be happening now) the thickness of the active layer will increase, the surface of the permafrost will melt, and large quantities of carbon will be released to the Earth's atmosphere.

However, even if the temperature of the Arctic rises, we could still prevent the permafrost from melting by making the surface plants grow more rapidly, as is previously described.

The Potential Future of the Tundra

It is interesting to note that the tundra is the only remaining region of our planet with adequate sunlight and water that has not been agriculturally exploited by humankind.

If this proposal was implemented, a possible future scenario might be the following: The tundra would have methane–burning gas turbine power stations at 200 mile intervals on large natural gas pipelines. The turbines would be large and high-temperature, designed to throw their plume high into the atmosphere to get as much coverage as possible. As the productivity rises, other nutrients may be required (e.g. trace elements) and a solution of these could be sprayed into the turbine discharge.

Transmission lines would send electric power to southern population centers. The increased productivity of the tundra would enable reindeer (a domesticated version of caribou) and musk ox ranching, adding to the Arctic economy and providing an additional source of high-quality protein for marketing to the world. Finally, the gas turbine stations would be adjustable to control the carbon dioxide composition of the atmosphere to produce an optimum temperature for the Earth – which might be different than that of the present.

The Current Opportunity

In the Energy Bill before Congress there is a proposal to build a very large natural gas pipeline from Prudhoe Bay to Chicago. This will present a low cost opportunity to set up such a system as proposed above. It offers an opportunity to use large quantities of Alaskan natural gas without any net addition of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. Further, it would act as a model for using eastern Siberian natural gas in China. There are large deposits of natural gas and vast areas of tundra immediately to the north of China, which could yield large amounts of energy with no addition of carbon to the atmosphere. In fact, it would almost certainly have the net effect of removing carbon from the atmosphere. This may be an alternative to China's use of its coal deposits.